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Abstract

Background
Breast cancer is an invasive type of malignancy prevalent among females worldwide. Global figures indicate that depression occurs in 32.2% of females with breast cancer. The prevalence and correlates of depression among patients with breast cancer in Sri Lanka are largely unknown. A better understanding of these data is essential to identify the burden of the disease and plan appropriate interventions.

Aims
The aims of the study were to describe the prevalence of depression among patients with breast cancer in Sri Lanka and to identify associated socio-demographic and clinical factors.

Methods
This was a descriptive cross-sectional study conducted in two cancer units. Participants included females with pathologically proven breast cancer attending oncology clinics, and the sample size was 335. Participants were screened for depression using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Socio-demographic and clinical information regarding the cancer was collected using a questionnaire.

Results
The prevalence of clinical depression in the sample was 24.5%. There was a significant association between the occurrence of depression and participant age, partner’s employment status, menopausal state, having dependent children, degree of social support, metastatic stage of cancer, past target therapy, and current hormonal therapy. Logistic regression analysis indicated a significant association between the degree of social support received and the presence of depression.

Conclusions
Almost a quarter of patients with breast cancer in this study were depressed. Patients’ perception of the degree of social support appears to be a very significant predictor of depression in this group.
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Introduction
Breast cancer is the most common invasive malignancy among females worldwide (1). According to the Cancer control programme of Sri Lanka, in 2014, breast cancer accounted for 25.2% of all types of cancers affecting females in Sri Lanka(2). Studies have shown the prevalence of depression in breast cancer to be very high compared to general population figures, although it varies widely across different settings, ranging from 1% to 56% (3,4). Depression is reported to worsen the progression of cancer in many ways; and ultimately, the outcome of the cancer treatment becomes poorer if the patient is depressed (5). Therefore, patients with breast cancer should be screened for depression and offered appropriate treatment if they are found to be depressed.

The prevalence and correlates of depression among patients with breast cancer in Sri Lanka are poorly understood due to the scarcity of research in this area. These data are essential to identify the burden of the disease and also to plan appropriate interventions. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and socio-demographic and clinical correlates of depression among female patients with breast cancer attending out-patient clinics at two cancer units in Sri Lanka.

Methods
The study was conducted at the National Cancer Institute of Sri Lanka and Teaching Hospital Karapitiya. Ethical approval for the study was granted from the...
Ethics Review Committee at the Postgraduate Institute of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka. Female patients with histopathological evidence of breast cancer, attending outpatient oncology clinics at those two centers, between the 1st July-10th August, 2020 were considered eligible for the study. Participants were recruited from the outpatient clinics only, to avoid any bias related to an acute setting. After receiving information about the project, women who gave written consent were included in the study. Male patients and those with intellectual disabilities or significant acute medical problems were excluded from the study.

The total sample size was 335, and this was calculated based on a global depression prevalence of 32.2%. The locally validated Sinhala version of the Centre for Epidemiological Studies for Depression Scale (CES D) was used to screen for depression, and a questionnaire designed by the authors for this study was administered to obtain socio-demographic and clinical (cancer-related and treatment-related) information (6).

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) was used to analyze the data. The analysis included descriptive statistics, and the Chi-square test was used to analyze for any associations between variables of interest, such as socio-demographic, cancer-related, and treatment-related factors and the occurrence of depression. A significance level of ≥0.05 was applied in the analysis. Logistic regression was used for further analysis of categorical data found to be significantly associated with the occurrence of depression according to the results of the chi-square tests.

Results

Of the 335 participants, a total of 84 (24.5%) were found to be suffering from either mild, moderate or severe depression. The distribution of the CES D score is given in Figure 1. Five socio-demographic factors were found to have a statistically significant association with the occurrence of depression, namely: Age ($\chi^2=5.016, df=1, p=0.025$), partner employment status ($\chi^2=3.905, df=1, p=0.048$), menopausal status ($\chi^2=5.221, df=1, p=0.022$), number of children under patient’s care ($\chi^2=5.134, df=1, p=0.023$), and degree of social support ($\chi^2=17.866, df=3, p<0.001$) (Table 1). The prevalence of depression was greater in those who were younger compared to older participants. Depression was less in the group with currently employed partners, compared to others with partners with no current employment. Premenopausal women had a higher prevalence of depression. When the number of children under the patients’ care was concerned, a lesser prevalence was found in the patient group with no children to care for, compared to the group who had children needing care. Participants reporting good and very good social support (as per the definitions in the questionnaire) had a lower rate of depression in contrast to the group with adequate or poor support.

Among cancer-related factors, those with metastatic cancer had significantly higher rates of depression ($\chi^2=3.871, df=1, p=0.049$) compared to those with no metastasis. When treatment-related factors were concerned, the group treated with targeted therapy had a higher prevalence of depression ($\chi^2=5.148, df=1, p=0.023$). Those currently receiving hormonal therapy...
had a lesser prevalence of depression ($\chi^2=4.767$, df =1, $p=0.029$) compared to the non-hormonal therapy group. Logistic regression indicated that only social support was significantly associated with the risk of depression, with participants who reported good and very good social support having a significantly lower rate of depression (Table 2).

There was no significant association detected between marital status, educational level, employment status, family income, family group, presence of a history of medical condition or psychiatric illness, having a family history of psychiatric illness, degree of partner’s support, local lymph node invasion stage of cancer, having a family history of breast cancer, duration since diagnosis, having a history of surgery, chemotherapy radiotherapy or hormonal therapy, or current chemotherapy, and the occurrence of depression.

### Table 1. Associations between variables of interest and the presence of depression in women with breast cancer (based on chi square analysis, n=335)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associations with socio-demographic factors and past medical history</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>5.016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner employment status</td>
<td>3.905</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children under patient’s care</td>
<td>5.134</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past psychiatric history</td>
<td>1.995</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past medical history</td>
<td>2.385</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of psychiatric illness</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of social support</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of partner support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associations with cancer-related factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic stage of cancer</td>
<td>3.871</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node invasion</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration since diagnosis</td>
<td>8.642</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of breast cancer</td>
<td>2.515</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associations with treatment-related factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past targeted therapy</td>
<td>5.148</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current hormonal therapy</td>
<td>4.767</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past surgery</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past chemo-therapy</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past radio-therapy</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past hormonal therapy</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current chemo-therapy</td>
<td>2.922</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2$ = chi-square value; df = degree of freedom; $p$ = level of significance; *Significant associations
Discussion

The prevalence of depression

Of the participants in this study, 24.5% screened positive for clinical depression, which was lower than the global reported rate of 32.2% (7). However, variations in rates of depression in those with breast cancer has been noted in other studies, and lower prevalence rates have been previously reported in some Asian countries (7). A large population-based cohort study done in China reported a depression prevalence of 26%, and another study from Thailand found 16.7% participants with breast cancer to be depressed (8, 9). A study from India has reported a clinical depression point prevalence of 21.5% (10). These findings suggest that the rates for depression in women with breast cancer in Sri Lankan and other Asian countries maybe lower than rates reported in the West, but the reasons for this are not clear. Factors such as family support may play a role, and this is an area requiring further research.

Associations with socio-demographic factors

Similar to the results obtained from the current study, younger age has been associated with increased risk of depression in women with breast cancer, in several other studies (11-13). Difficulty accepting the cancer at a younger age, and anger against the diagnosis, has been suggested as possible explanations for this (14).

When partner employment is considered, the male partner’s unemployment status is important as an indicator of family income, for many families in Sri Lanka. Although we could find no previous research evidence to confirm this in Sri Lanka, during our interviews with the patients in this study, it was evident that patients with breast cancer were worried about their partner’s unemployment status, and therefore this may have contributed to the association between the partner’s unemployment status and the occurrence of depression. Previous work has shown that premenopausal women were more likely to experience depression and other side effects related to various modes of treatment for breast cancer, and a similar increased rate of depression was seen in this group of patients in our study (15). However, there is also research showing the opposite association (16). Having young children needing care has been described as a vulnerability factor for depression in the general population, and this may play a role with regards to depression even in patients with breast cancer, as shown in our study. However, in contrast to previous work there was no association between the number of children and the occurrence of depression in our study (17).

Lack of social support was a very important risk factor for depression that emerged from our study — and this has been previously reported in several other studies done in many different cultures (9, 14, 18, 19).

In contrast to international evidence, in our study there was no significant association between marital status, educational level, unemployment status, partner support and the occurrence of depression (18, 20, 21). Study limitations such as insufficient sample size to detect these individual associations or sampling errors due to the non-random sampling may have contributed to these differences. Having a comorbid medical condition and having a positive family history are risk factors for depression in the general population, but these risks seem to be non-significant in patients with breast cancer (10).

Table 2. Factors associated with depression in patients with breast cancer – logistic regression examining different character groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character Groups (variable)</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95.0% C.I. for odd ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.024</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>.977</td>
<td>.925 - 1.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner employment</td>
<td>.459</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>.728 - 3.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status</td>
<td>-.246</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>.782</td>
<td>.289 - 2.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having children</td>
<td>-.011</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>.989</td>
<td>.461 - 2.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of support</td>
<td>.957</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.604</td>
<td>1.401 - 4.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic stage</td>
<td>.489</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>1.630</td>
<td>.685 - 3.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted therapy</td>
<td>.766</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>2.151</td>
<td>.592 - 7.815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.I. = confidence interval.
Association with cancer-related factors

Metastatic breast cancer has a poor prognosis and its 5-year survival rate can be as low as 26%, compared to non-metastatic breast cancer, which has a 5-year survival rate of almost 99% (22). Patients with distant metastasis have a higher symptom burden and stress due to chronic inflammatory reactions, which in turn makes them more vulnerable to become depressed (23, 24). All these multiple factors are likely to contribute to the higher rate of depression in those with metastatic breast cancer, as was seen in this study.

Local lymph node invasion itself did not show an increased risk of depression in this study. However there are various levels of invasion that were not considered in this study due to the unavailability of uniform pathological reports with the patients (16). If proper staging was considered there may have been significant associations with the prevalence of depression (25). Our study did not detect any significant association with family history of breast cancer and the occurrence of depression, and international evidence on this is variable (26). The duration since diagnosis has been shown to affect rates of depression in previous studies, but this was not seen in this study (8, 18).

Association with treatment-related factors

There is conflicting evidence concerning various modes of treatment modalities used in breast cancer and their association with depression (17,27). Some studies have not detected any association with chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, radiotherapy, or surgery, while others showed significant associations with chemotherapy and surgery (17, 27-29). This current study also confirmed the heterogeneity of findings, given the positive association between depression risk and past target therapy, negative association with current hormone therapy treatment modalities, and no significant association with other treatment options. The reasons behind these differences in associations are not clear. However, depressive mood is a known side effect with chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and hormonal therapy, and psychological effects secondary to changes in bodily appearance after mastectomy have been attributed to depression in women who have undergone mastectomy (29).

Limitations

The study included a convenient sample taken from two major cancer units and was not a random sample representative of the country. Therefore, the generalizability of the results maybe limited. The sample size was calculated to detect the prevalence of depression but not to detect individual correlates. This inadequacy of sample size may be a reason why there was no significant association between certain socio-demographic, cancer-related, and treatment-related factors and the occurrence of depression, as described above.

We were also not able to obtain the exact TNM classification with regards to each participant’s breast cancer, due to the unavailability of uniform pathological reports or records. This is a limitation and may have contributed the fact that there was no demonstrable association between the different stages of cancer and depression.

Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore for prevalence and associations of depression in women with breast cancer in Sri Lanka. The findings of this study indicate that almost one quarter of the patients with breast cancer at the study sites were suffering from depression.

There was a significant association between the patient’s age, partner’s employment state, menopausal state, having children needing care, degree of social support, metastatic stage of cancer, past target therapy, and current hormonal therapy. Among these, the degree of social support emerged as an important factor associated with the occurrence of depression, based on logistic regression.

Recommendations

It is recommended that a proper screening method for depression be included in the routine breast cancer treatment package and patients who screen positive should be offered further psychiatric assessment and treatment if indicated.

Lack of social support being the most significant, as well as preventable association with the presence of depression, it is recommended that patients and caregivers, as well as medical practitioners be educated about this and measures be taken to improve social support for these patients.
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